

Appendix Example

What Step 1 Looks Like: Evaluate the Source Following the 5Ws Method of Evaluating Sources

Xue, Ying, et al. "Childhood type 2 diabetes: risks and complications (review)." *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 4, 2016, p. 2367+. *Academic OneFile*, Accessed 14 Nov. 2017.

WHO: Ying Xue, Min Gao, Yiqing Gao

These authors are researchers from the Department of Endocrinology, Xuzhou Children's Hospital, Xuzhou, Jiangsu 221002, P.R. China. Ying Xue has multiple publications in the field of diabetes in academic journals. Because these authors are Chinese, it was hard to find an academic page with their credentials. They appear to be credible scientist and academics researching diabetes.

WHAT: This is an article in an academic journal. It is a peer-reviewed article. As such, it is credible.

WHEN: October 2016

The date indicates the information is current and should be more relevant information for a field that is constantly changing with more research.

WHERE: The article was published in [*Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*](#), an academic journal out of England written for an academic audience. The journal is published monthly which aims to “ensure the rapid publication, in both print and electronic format, of studies relating to biology, gene therapy, infectious disease, microbiology, molecular cardiology and molecular surgery” (*Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, “Aims & Scope”). The journal is published out of England, and appears to be a well-established academic journal.

WHY: This article was written to approach the issue on the risks and complications of childhood type 2 diabetes. It goes over the factors, and the actual studies of the increase of type 2 diabetes in kids. It was written to share research with other researchers and practitioners.

HOW: As a peer-reviewed article it has gone through a double-checking and refining process before its publication that makes it a more credible source.

What makes this source credible?

Although it is difficult to get information on these authors because they are Chinese, the fact that they have this publication in the academic and peer-reviewed journal *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine* is a sign that this is an article with good information. The authors are doctors and faculty members at a Children's Hospital in China, and presumably they do the same kind of medical research that is done at hospitals here in the U.S. The Journal also seems to be a solid venue for getting information from. It is targeted toward other academics and practitioners to present the most recent research and knowledge in the field. The other key factor that makes this a good source is that it was published within the last year, so it is very current. Overall, I rate this source as a highly credible source. (140 words)

SEE THE NEXT PAGE FOR AN EXAMPLE OF A CORRECTLY FORMATTED APPENDIX ENTRY.

Step 2: Use ONLY the paragraph summary in your actual Appendix

Appendix

Xue, Ying, et al. "Childhood type 2 diabetes: risks and complications (review)." *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine*, vol. 12, no. 4, 2016, p. 2367+. *Academic OneFile*, Accessed 14 Nov. 2017.

Although it is difficult to get information on these authors because they are Chinese, the fact that they have this publication in the academic and peer-reviewed journal *Experimental and Therapeutic Medicine* is a sign that this is an article with good information. The authors are doctors and faculty members at a Children's Hospital in China, and presumably they do the same kind of medical research that is done at hospitals here in the U.S. The Journal also seems to be a solid venue for getting information from. It is targeted toward other academics and practitioners to present the most recent research and knowledge in the field. The other key factor that makes this a good source is that it was published within the last year, so it is very current. Overall, I rate this source as a highly credible source. (140 words)

Full MLA reference, properly formatted, double-spaced, with hanging indent. Put entries in **alphabetic** order.

NOTE: The Appendix is NOT the Works Cited page.

You still need a Works Cited page.

Appendix as page title is centered

Only include your "What makes this source credible?" portion of your 5Ws Evaluation of the source. This evaluation should be 75-150 words in length and highlight the key factors that make this a good source of information, including aspects that may be questionable about the source. Single-spaced.

YOU NEED TO DO A FULL 5Ws EVALUATION IN ORDER TO HAVE THIS SUMMARY PARAGRAPH. See page 1.